

SCHOLARLY COMMUNICATION AND ETHICS





Image by <u>Jeff Babb</u>

WHAT IS SCORE?

- Scholarly Communication & Open Resources for Education (SCORE) is a CARL Interest Group
- Active community of people interested in and/or working on scholarly communication and open educational resources
- Quarterly meetings and biannual webinars
- Free to join with your CARL membership
- http://www.carl-acrl.org/ig/score/

San Diego Lowrider Archival Project

AMANDA MAKULA, UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO

Definition

The San Diego Lowrider Archival Project, a collaborative, interdisciplinary endeavor between the University of San Diego and the local San Diego lowrider community, aims to recover and document the history of lowriding in San Diego and the surrounding borderlands by preserving and showcasing photographs, car club documents, memorabilia, dance posters, lowrider art, and more. These materials reflect important qualities of the lowrider movement: creativity, independence, cultural pride, resistance, activism, community service, collectivism, tradition and ritual, and cultural continuity.

Goals of the Project Background / Context Issues / Considerations

Goals of the Project

Invite current and future lowriders to learn about their history and the foundation of the movement

Serve as a resource for educators and elders to teach about the values of lowriding

Strengthen ties between the Mexican and U.S. lowrider community in the borderlands region

Aid in capacity-building to help create and sustain lowrider car clubs

Establish a relationship between the local lowrider community and the University of San Diego



Thee Crowd Car Club: "Beyond Imagination" owned by Steve "Masa" Wade, c. 1986



Individuals Car Club: Photograph from a car show, c. 1986 (image donated by Armando Medina)

Background / Context

Initial collaboration between:
1) USD Ethnic Studies faculty member, and
2) founding member/president of Amigos Car Club, currently the Director of Community Engagement at Via International, a local non-profit

Co-authored the book <u>San Diego Lowriders: A History of Cars and Cruising</u> Produced the documentary film <u>Everything Comes from the Streets</u>

Community "scan day"

Current Digital Initiatives Librarian (me) started work Dec. 2016

Received small internal grant

Materials ingested into USD's open access repository, Digital USD



Brown Image Car Club: Symbolic ritual of burning a jacket in front of club members, c. 1974



Specials Car Club: Club members, including Dora Simpson, Nonie Samano, and Jovita Juarez, c. 1980 (image donated by Nonie Samano)

Issues / Considerations

Digital Initiatives Librarian hired mid-project

Trust / suspicion

Permissions forms and copyright issues

Crafted a new <u>Withdrawal/Removal Policy</u> for Digital USD

Added the <u>ability for viewers to comment</u> on individual items in the collection

Greater outreach to the lowrider community to increase involvement

Korner Car Club: Annual "hop" at the county administration building in downtown San Diego, c. 1980 (image donated by George Rodriguez)



Unlimited Car Club: Ben Osorio's 1969 Chevy Impala being used in a wedding, c. 1984

Visit the project: digital.sandiego.edu/low riders

Thank You!

Balances of Power Between IP Creators: Ethical Issues in Scholarly Communication

Kristin Laughtin-Dunker Coordinator of Scholarly Communications & Electronic Resources

Leatherby Libraries Chapman University Ethical Issues Encountered with Co-Authored Works

- Often encountered when IP is owned by multiple individuals with an imbalance of power between them.
 - Faculty working with students
 - Educators working with underage students/parents

- Voluntary system by which we place abstracts and posters from our Student Research Days into our IR.
- The first semester used an opt-in system at the time of registration.
 - Form did not allow much explanation of issues surrounding IP rights, and this was completely new territory for most of the participants (mainly undergraduate students).
 - Did not allow for input from faculty advisors, many of whom were research collaborators on the projects.

- Switched to an opt-in system at the time of presentation, after getting faculty buy-in.
 - Faculty advisors are emailed first for permission to reach out to the students, regardless of whether they are collaborators/co-own the IP.
 - If there is affirmative consent from the faculty advisor, the student is invited to submit their materials.
 - Detailed email explains benefits, links to materials explaining their IP rights, licensing options, etc.

• Ethical issues:

- Balance of power between faculty and student researchers: who owns the IP and gets to make the decision?
- Some faculty never respond to emails requesting permission to ask the student, preventing those students from being approached.
 - Students may own 100% of the IP, but current policy does not allow them to be asked if they would like to submit their poster.

• Ethical issues:

- Mission of library vs. will of IP owner(s)
 - By placing these materials into the IR, the library is striving to make them accessible in perpetuity.
 - However, sometimes the IP owner(s) will want them removed down the line.

- Reasons for requests for removal:
 - Sometimes it is out of concern that their work will not be publishable if disseminated as a poster.
 - Sometimes it is out of fear that someone will swipe their results before they can publish.
 - Occasionally, it can be due to discomfort with the attention the poster has received.
 - One group of students asked that we remove their poster about ISIS after seeing that it was frequently downloaded in affected areas and becoming uncomfortable with their names being associated.

- Outcomes:
 - A few posters from early on were removed by request of the IP owner(s).
 - A few more were placed under restricted access, so the poster is only downloadable by Chapman affiliates.
 - Many students never get the opportunity to disseminate their posters because faculty objections or silence present them from receiving the opportunity.
 - Much of this important scholarly work will thus be lost in the long term.

- University students in the *Teaching of Writing K-12* course partner with junior high students in a journalism class at a local academy.
- The two classes collaborate over four months to create a collection of features, editorials, and news articles around a central theme.
- The writings are produced as a bound volume for the participants and also disseminated through Chapman's IR.

• Ethical issues:

- The university students grant permission for their writings to be posted as part of the course, but it gets a bit trickier with the junior high students, who are minors and cannot legally consent on their own.
 - It required creating an annotated version of the permission form that explained the legalese better for the parents of the students to sign.

• Ethical issues:

- Fear of incrimination of interview subjects.
 - Many of the kids wrote on controversial issues, including immigration, drug use, etc.
 - Even with pseudonyms, there is a fear that individuals in the students' communities could be targeted.

• Outcomes:

- A few pieces were redacted from the digital versions of the collections in the archive to protect students and/or interview subjects.
- Minors may object to inclusion once older.
 - Their work will thus need to be removed if they request it.
 - Must they be legal adults before they can do make such a request?

Thank you!

 Student Research Day Abstracts and Posters: <u>https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/cusrd_abstracts</u>

 Yorba-Chapman Writing Partnership Anthology of Journalistic Writing: <u>https://digitalcommons.chapman.edu/yorba-chapman/</u>

• Questions? Email laughtin@chapman.edu!

An Examination of Inclusion and Exclusion

Paige Mann Scholarly Communications | STEM Librarian

2018 CARL Conference SCORE Interest Group Showcase Panel The Right to be Forgotten: Scholarly Communication and Ethics



DEFINING SCHOLARSHIP

The production of new knowledge such that it

- Builds upon, and contributes to, the corpus of knowledge
- Uses methodologies
- Results in artifacts
- Undergoes review
- Is disseminated
- Is executed by scholars

WHO IS A SCHOLAR?

One who does scholarship

One with a terminal degree

RECENT RESTRICTIONS[‡]

19th Century 20th Century

- Universities as knowledge producers and disseminators [Europe]
- PhDs and dissertations become global norms [West]
- Women and minorities included in attendance [West]



 $^{\infty}$ Craig, 1998 ⁺Hale, 2018 [‡]Heilbron, 2003 [§]Krieger, 2001 ^{*}Kurian, 2011

responsibility vs. rights communal stewardship vs. individual ownership (Ross, et. al., 2011)

connection to land (Simpson, 2004)

holistic vs. fragmented framework (Wane, 2005)

> Situated and insider scholarship (Elabor-Idemudia, 2011)

"secular fence of knowledge" (Shahjahan & Haverkos, 2011) Malleable nature of knowledge transmitted through performance, ceremony, silence through living persons (Ross, et. al., 2011)

NON-WESTERN WAYS

"They are referred to as project workers, community activists or consultants, anything but 'researchers'." (Smith, 2012, p. 17)

WESTERN IDEOLOGIES OF KNOWLEDGE



DEFINING SCHOLARSHIP

The production of new knowledge such that it

- Builds upon, and contributes to, the corpus of knowledge
- Uses methodologies
- Results in artifacts
- Undergoes review
- Is disseminated
- Is executed by scholars

SCHOLARSHIP AS ARTIFACT

Commodity

 "to promote the progress of science and useful arts" (U.S. Const. art. I, § 8)

• Court as authority

Legal protection

• Ownership

Legal right

Copyright

• Private property

Fixed & Tangible

- (Copyright Law of the United States, 2016)
- Overlooks oral and ceremonial transmissions of knowledge (Wane, 2005)

Artifacts

- Articles
- Programmes
- Software



Information Literacy

Collections

Open Infrastructure, OA, OER

Library Publishing, IR

Digital Scholarship

Scholarship & Scholars

Diversity

Commons

Public Good

REFERENCES

Copyright Law of the United States, 17 U.S.C. § 17 (2016).

- Craig, E. (1998). Humanism. In Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Vol. 4, pp. 528-532). London and New York: Routledge.
- Elabor-Idemudia, P. (2011). Identity, representation, and knowledge production. In G. J. S. (Ed.), *Indigenous philosophies and critical education: A reader* (pp. 142-156). New York: Peter Lang Publishing.
- FORCE11. (2016, April 24). Empowering Indigenous peoples' knowledge systems related to climate change and intellectual property rights [Video file]. Available from <u>https://www.force11.org/media/video/empowering-indigenous-peoples-knowledge-systems-related-climate-change-and-intellectual</u>

Hale, B. (2018). Realism. In Encyclopædia Britannica. Retrieved from https://www.britannica.com/topic/realism-philosophy

- Heilbron, J. L. (2003). Modernity and Postmodernity. In The Oxford Companion to the History of Modern Science. (pp. 540-541). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Heilbron, J. L. (2003). Naturalism and Physicalism. In The Oxford Companion to the History of Modern Science. (pp. 565). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Heilbron, J. L. (2003). Positivism and Scientism. In The Oxford Companion to the History of Modern Science. (pp. 670-671). New York: Oxford University Press.

Heilbron, J. L. (2003). University. In The Oxford Companion to the History of Modern Science. (pp. 812-815). New York: Oxford University Press.

Krieger, J. (2001). Capitalism. In The Oxford Companion to Politics of the World (2nd ed., pp. 108-109). New York: Oxford University Press.

Heilbron, J. L. (2003). Dissertation. In The Oxford Companion to the History of Modern Science. (pp. 216-217). New York: Oxford University Press.

REFERENCES

Kurian, G. T. (2011). Individualism. In The Encyclopedia of Political Science. (Vol. 4, pp. 779-780). Washington, DC: CQ Press.

Kurian, G. T. (2011). Progress. In The Encyclopedia of Political Science. (Vol. 4, pp. 1361-1363). Washington, DC: CQ Press.

Kurian, G. T. (2011). Property Rights. In The Encyclopedia of Political Science. (Vol. 4, pp. 1367-1368). Washington, DC: CQ Press.

Kurian, G. T. (2011). Secularism. In The Encyclopedia of Political Science. (Vol. 4, pp. 1525-1526). Washington, DC: CQ Press.

Smith, L. T. (2012). Decolonizing methodologies: Research and Indigenous peoples (2nd ed.). London and New York: Zed Books.

U.S. Const. art. I, § 8.

Wane, N. N. (2005). African Indigenous knowledge: Claiming, writing, storing, and sharing the discourse. Journal of Thought, 40(2), 27-46.

Ross, A. Sherman, K. P., Snodgrass, J. G., Delcore, H. D., & Sherman, R. (2011). Indigenous peoples and the collaborative stewardship of nature: Knowledge binds and institutional conflicts. Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press.

Shahjahan, R. & Haverkos, K. (2011). Revealing the secular fence of knowledge: Towards reimagining spiritual ways of knowing and being in the academy. In G. J. S. (Ed.), *Indigenous philosophies and critical education: A reader* (pp. 142-156). New York: Peter Lang Publishing.

Simpson, L. R. (2004). Anticolonial strategies for the recovery and maintenance of Indigenous knowledge. American Indian Quarterly, 28(3-4), 373-384.



OUESTIONS?

SPEAKER INFORMATION

- Kristin Laughtin-Dunker laughtin@chapman.edu
- Amanda Makula amakula@sandiego.edu
- Paige Mann Paige_Mann@redlands.edu
- Lana Mariko Wood lana.wood@csueastbay.edu

SCORE

Scholarly Communication & Open Resources for Education